

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,880	08/05/2003	Eric Justin Gould	6057-06101	1594	
35690	7590 11/30/2006	EXAMINER			
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. 700 LAVACA, SUITE 800			WINDER, PATRICE L		
AUSTIN, T	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2145	•	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/635,880)	GOULD, ERIC JUSTIN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Patrice Win	der	2145				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	J. nely filed the mailing`date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	August 2006.		·				
'=		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖾	4) Claim(s) 23-107 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23-107</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers	٠			·			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bure	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachmen	*/e\							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-31<i>-</i>06</u> .		5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 23-39, 46-53, 59-67, 72-78, 81-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vora et al., USPN 5,819,273 (hereafter referred to as Vora).
- 3. Regarding claim 23, Vora taught a computer readable medium storing instructions that when executed by a personal computer connected to a network are capable of causing the personal computer to:

display a meta-folder, wherein the meta-folder is a file system object that is associated with search criteria (column 9, lines 38-51);

in response to the open meta-folder, initiate by searching the network and the personal computer for conventional objects that satisfy the search criteria (column 14, lines 54-61; column 16, lines 35-46); and

display icons representing the conventional objects that result from the searching (column 11, lines 12-25). Vora does not specifically teach receiving a command to open the meta-folder. However, Vora taught the user using an open meta-folder to configure search criteria (column 9, lines 39-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating "receiving a

Art Unit: 2145

command to open a folder" in Vora's system for meta-folder assisted searching would have been an equivalent mechanism for providing Vora's "open" meta-folder. The

Page 3

motivation would have been in order to see the contents of a folder you must open the

folder.

4. Regarding claims 24-31, Vora taught the network includes a local area network (column 5, lines 26-29), a wide area network (column 5, lines 26-29) and/or the Internet (column 5, lines 47-53) and the network includes a collection of storage systems for

storing at least some of the conventional objects (column 6, lines 37-40, 56-66).

- 5. Regarding claim 32, Vora taught the network includes a user server connected to the personal computer (column 14, lines 39-43).
- 6. Regarding claims 33 and 34, Vora taught the user server stores the meta-folder and at least some of the conventional objects (column 14, lines 39-43).
- 7. Regarding claims 35-39, Vora further discloses the user server is connected to the personal computer via a LAN, WAN or Internet and the user server is connected to a content server via the Internet (column 5, lines 26-29, 47-53), wherein the content server is a web-page server (column 5, lines 47-53), an FTP server or a news server (column 17, lines 48-58).
- 8. Regarding claim 46, Vora taught the conventional objects include conventional folders, conventional files, electronic mail, notes, contact or address book items or files containing text, audio or video information (column 6, lines 40-46).

Art Unit: 2145

9. Regarding claims 47-49, Vora taught the search criteria includes wildcard characters and the meta-folder includes indicia indicative of a search function, wherein the indicia is a magnifying glass (Figure 4A; column 9, lines 38-51).

Page 4

- 10. Regarding claims 50 and 51, Vora taught the meta-folder includes descriptive text, wherein the descriptive text describes the search object (Figure 4A, column 9, lines 44-51).
- 11. Regarding claims 52 and 53, Vora taught the personal computer to display the meta-folder, the icons representing the conventional objects and other objects on a single screen or intermingling screens of the user interface (column 10, lines 26-34).
- 12. Regarding claims 59-67, 72-78, 81-104 and 107, claims 59-67, 72-78, 81-104, and 107 have similar limitations as claims 23-39 and 46-53. Therefore, the similar limitations are taught under Vora for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 23-39 and 46-53 (Supra 23-39 and 46-53).
- 13. Claims 44-45 and 105-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vora in view of Khan, USPN 6546393 B1 (hereafter referred to as Khan).
- 14. Regarding claims 44-45 and 105-106, Vora does not specifically teach using the personal computer to initiate transfer of the meta folder through electronic mail. However, Khan further discloses the personal computer to initiate a transfer of the meta-folder from the user to another user via the network, wherein the transfer occurs through electronic mail (column 21, line 55 column 22, line 32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that

Art Unit: 2145

·

Page 5

incorporating Khan's transferring meta-folders by electronic mail in Vora's system for meta-folder assisted searching would have prompted system utility. The motivation would have been to allow file transfers of search results without employing a specific file transfer utility.

- 15. Claims 40-43, 54-58, 68-71, 79 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vora in view of Fritsch, USPN 6,233,682 B1 (hereafter referred to as Fritsch), as applied to claims 23, 59, 74, above.
- 16. Regarding claims 40-43, Vora does not specifically teach the user server is connected to a vendor server, wherein the meta-folder is stored on the vendor server or the user server, wherein the vendor server includes a search engine of media products and wherein the media products include books, video tapes, DVDS, CDS and audio cassettes. However, Fritsch, in the same object searching field of endeavor, does disclose a server is connected to a vendor server via the internet, wherein a folder is stored on the vendor server, wherein the vendor server includes a search engine of media products and wherein the media products include books, video tapes, DVDS, CDs and audio cassettes (column 3, line 40 column 4, line 46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Fritsch's vender server with multimedia products into Vora's meta-folder assisted search system would have expanded system utility. The motivation would have been to provide access to heterogeneous file types.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,880 Page 6

Art Unit: 2145

17. Regarding claims 54-55, Fritsch taught the conventional objects pertain to music, wherein the personal computer to initiate playing the music (column 1, lines 10-64; column 4, lines 47-67).

- 18. Regarding claims 56-58, Fritsch taught the personal computer to generate icons that indicate whether the music is owned or un-owned, wherein the personal computer to initiate a purchase of the music and the personal computer to provide a link from which the user can purchase the music (column 5, line 25 column 6, line 32).
- 19. Regarding claims 68-71, 79 and 80, claims 68-71, 79 and 80 have similar limitations as claims 40-43 and 54-58. Therefore, the similar limitations are disclosed under Vora-Fritsch for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 40-43 and 54-58 (supra 40-43 and 54-58).

Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed August 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 21. Applicant argues "Regarding claims 92 and 94, Applicant can find no teaching or suggestion in Vora of 'display[ing] an icon representing a search object along with one or more icons representing conventional objects in window of a graphical user interface, wherein the search object defines a search criteria,' as recited in claim 92."
 - a. Vora taught one or more icons representing conventional objects in window of a graphical user interface (column 10, lines 26-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,880 Page 7

Art Unit: 2145

22. Applicant argues – "Vora does not appear to teach or suggest 'an icon representing a search object."

- b. Vora taught an icon representing a search object (column 9, lines 57-67).
- 23. Applicant argues "For example, Applicant submits that the cited prior art does not teach or suggest, among other things, 'in response to receiving the command to open the meta-folder, initiating searching the network and the personal computer for conventional objects that satisfy the search criteria,' as recited in claim 23."
 - c. Vora taught in response to the open meta-folder, initiating searching the network and the personal computer for conventional objects that satisfy the search criteria (column 14, lines 54-61; column 16, lines 35-46).

Conclusion

24. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2145

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrice Winder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2145

Page 8

November 22, 2006